

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/693,134	10/20/2000	Kia Silverbrook	ART82US	7841
24011	7590 03/11/2004		EXAMINER	
SILVERBROOK RESEARCH PTY LTD 393 DARLING STREET			HENN, TIMOTHY J	
BALMAIN,			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
AUSTRALI	A		2612	<u> </u>
			DATE MAILED: 03/11/2004	, S

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/693,134	SILVERBROOK	ΕΤ ΔΙ			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	T			
·	Timothy J Henn	2612				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	<u> </u>		ddress			
Period for Reply		•				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, howe oly within the statutory min will apply and will expire stee, cause the application to	ver, may a reply be timely filed imum of thirty (30) days will be considered time SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this or become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ely. communication.			
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 (<u>October 2000</u> .					
·						
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims		•				
 4) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 1,4,5,8 and 9 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/a 	awn from consider					
Application Papers						
9)☑ The specification is objected to by the Examin 10)☑ The drawing(s) filed on 20 October 2000 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct the oath or declaration is objected to by the E	e: a)⊠ accepted of e drawing(s) be held ction is required if th	in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). e drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 C	DFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4.	4) 3) 5) 6)	Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO) Other:	ГО-152)			

Art Unit: 2612

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The listing of references in the specification is not a proper information disclosure statement. 37 CFR 1.98(b) requires a list of all patents, publications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office, and MPEP § 609 A(1) states, "the list may not be incorporated into the specification but must be submitted in a separate paper." Therefore, unless the references have been cited by the examiner on form PTO-892, they have not been considered.

Specification

- 2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: Please fill in the missing application numbers (i.e. "09/_____") on pages 1, 2, 4 and 6.

 Appropriate correction is required.
- 3. The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required: Claims 4 and 5 recite limitations dealing with modulation of the fault tolerant digital data with high frequency spectral signals, which is not described in the specification.

Claim Objections

Art Unit: 2612

4. Claim 1 objected to because of the following informalities: change "date" to "data". Appropriate correction is required.

- 5. Claim 9 is objected to as being an apparatus claim depending on a method claim. For the purposes of art rejection, claim 9 will be treated as being dependent on claim 7.
- 6. Claim 8 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. The office notes that claim 8 recites the limitation that the invisible ink used to print the fault tolerant digital data is an infra-red ink, however this limitation is already included in independent claim 7.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 7. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 8. Claims 4 and 5 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. The office notes that the modulation of the fault tolerant digital data with a high

Art Unit: 2612

frequency spectral component is not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to make and/or use the invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 10. Claims 1-3 and 6-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kuchta et al. (US 5,164,831) in view of Soscia (US 5,996,893) in further view of Nelson et al. (US 6,191,406).

[claim 7]

11. In regard to claim 7, note that Kuchta et al. discloses a camera system for imaging and image including means for outputting the image in a digital form (Column 2, Lines 33-48); the camera including means for inputting an image processing program (Figure 1, Items 20, 22); means for processing the digital format of the image into a transformed image according to the steps of the image processing program (Column 2, Lines 41-44) and storing the transformed image and the original image in a single file (Column 2, Lines 44-48). It can be seen that Soscia lacks means for converting the digital format of the image and the transformed image into a fault tolerant encoded digital form and means for printing the fault tolerant encoded digital form and the image

Art Unit: 2612

using an ink jet printing process and the fault tolerant digital form being printed using an infra-red ink.

- 12. Soscia discloses a printing device which prints a human viewable image with digital data printed over the top in an invisible ink (Figure 3; Column 6, Line 59 Column 7, Line 14) in order to provide a way to store data associated with a photograph directly on the photograph (Column 1, Lines 30-34). It is noted that although Soscia describes a preferred embodiment which stores audio data on a photograph, he also discloses that other types of information can be stored on the photograph (Column 7, Lines 26-30). Therefore, It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the method of Soscia to print images taken by the camera of Kuchta et al., and to store a digital version of the image and transformed image of Kuchta et al. on the printed photograph, using the system of Soscia.
- 13. It can further be seen that Kuchta et al. in view of Soscia lacks means for converting the digital format of the transformed image and the original image into a fault tolerant encoded digital form. However, Nelson et al. discloses a photograph with digital data printed over it in invisible ink in which the digital data is encoded in PDF 417, a well known fault tolerant form. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to encode the original and transformed image data of Kuchta et al. in PDF417 format as taught by Nelson et al. prior to printing of the photograph to create a printed version of the digital data which is more resilient to physical damage without losing the ability to be read (Official Notice). It is also noted that Nelson et al. discloses the use of infra-red ink for the printing of the secondary

Art Unit: 2612

invisible image as a currently preferred embodiment of the ink to be used for printing of the digital data on the photographs (Column 4, Lines 35-44). Therefore, It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use infra-red ink in the printing system of Soscia.

[claim 8]

14. In regard to claim 8, note that Nelson et al. discloses the use of invisible infra-red ink (Column 4, Lines 35-44) which inherently has absorption in the infra-red spectrum and negligible absorption in the visible spectrum.

[claims 1 and 2]

15. Claims 1 and 2 are method claims corresponding to apparatus claims 7 and 8 respectively. Therefore, claims 1 and 2 are analyzed and rejected as previously discussed with respect to apparatus claims 7 and 8.

[claim 3]

In regard to claim 3, note that Nelson et al. discloses the use of PDF417 encoding for the fault tolerant digital data, which, as is well known in the art, uses Reed-Solomon encoding (Official Notice).

[claim 6]

16. In regard to claim 6, note that Kuchta et al. in view of Soscia in further view of Nelson et al. discloses a print means that is detachable from the camera (See Soscia, Figure 1). Therefore, it can be seen that Kuchta et al. in view of Soscia in further view of Nelson et al. lacks a print roll means for storing the print media and an ink supply for the printer. The office notes that Soscia discloses that "any one of a plurality of known"

Art Unit: 2612

digital printers capable of printing on a substrate" (Column 3, Lines 19-21) may be used. It is well known in the art to include ink supply reservoirs in printing devices to store a large amount of ink to be able to print multiple sheets without refilling the printer. It is also well known in the art to store print media in rolls to be able to place a large amount of print media in a small location. Therefore, It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use both an ink supply and a print media roll in the printer of Kuchta et al. in view of Soscia in further view of Nelson et al. to be able to print a large number of pictures without the need to constantly restock the printer (Official Notice).

17. Claim 9 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kuchta et al. (US 5,164,831) in view of Soscia (US 5,996,893) in further view of Nelson et al. (US 6,191,406) as applied to claim 7 above, and further in view of Sharma et al. (US 5,726,693).

[claim 9]

- 18. In regard to claim 9, note that Kuchta et al. in view of Soscia in further view of Nelson et al. discloses all limitations with the exception of the use of a page width print head using an ink jet structure with a print roll media feeder as the printing means.

 However, it is noted that Soscia discloses that "any one of a plurality of known digital printers capable of printing on a substrate" (Column 3, Lines 19-21) may be used.
- 19. Sharma et al. discloses an ink jet page width printing means with feed rollers for moving the print media (Figure 1; Abstract) which provides for a significantly reduced

Art Unit: 2612

energy requirement (Column 4, Lines 25-27). Therefore, It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the printer device of Sharma et al. to reduce the total energy requirement of the system.

Double Patenting

20. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

21. Claims 1-4 and 6-9 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-7 of U.S. Patent No. 6,496,654 in view of Kuchta et al. (US 5,164,831).

[claim 1]

22. 6,496,654 claims a method of printing digital data on a photograph, including the steps of receiving image data corresponding to an image (claim 1, step a), converting the image data into an encoded fault tolerant digital form (claim 1, step b) and printing out the fault tolerant digital form of the image data using an ink jet printing process with an invisible ink on a surface of a print media while simultaneously printing out the image

Art Unit: 2612

Page 9

data as a photographic image representing the image data in a visual, human readable form on the same surface (claim 1, step c). However 6,496,654 does not claim loading an image processing program, transforming the image data into a transformed image and storing or "printing" the original and transformed image data onto the printed photograph.

23. Kuchta et al. teaches the storing of a transformed image, which is created using an image processing program loaded onto a camera, and an original image together to allow quick reading of the transformed image data for review while still maintaining the original high resolution image data (Column 2, Lines 21-32). Therefore, It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to transform the original image using an image processing program and to store the original and transformed image data together on the photograph to allow for fast access and display of the transformed image data, without losing the advantages of keeping original high resolution image data.

[claim 2]

24. The office notes that claim 2 is a verbatim copy of claim 4 of 6,496,654.

[claims 3 and 4]

25. The office notes that claims 3 and 4 are verbatim copies of claims 2 and 3 of 6,496,654 respectively.

[claim 6]

26. The office notes that claim 6 is a verbatim copy of claim 5 of 6,496,654.

[claim 7]

Art Unit: 2612

- 27. In regard to claim 7, note that 6,496,654 claims an apparatus for printing in infrared ink encoded fault tolerant digital data on a photograph, the apparatus including a camera system for imaging an image and for outputting the image in a digital format (claim 6, item a), means for processing the image data into a fault tolerant encoded digital form (claim 6, item b) and means for printing the image and the fault tolerant encoded digital form using an ink jet printing process, the fault tolerant encoded digital form being printed using an infra-red ink (claim 6, item c). However 6,496,654 does not claim loading an image processing program, transforming the image data into a transformed image and storing or "printing" the original and transformed image data onto the printed photograph.
- 28. Kuchta et al. teaches the storing of a transformed image, which is created using an image processing program loaded onto a camera, and an original image together to allow quick reading of the transformed image data for review while still maintaining the original high resolution image data (Column 2, Lines 21-32). Therefore, It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to transform the original image using an image processing program and to store the original and transformed image data together on the photograph to allow for fast access and display of the transformed image data, without losing the advantages of keeping original high resolution image data.

[claim 8]

Art Unit: 2612

29. In regard to claim 8, the office notes that 6,496,654 claims the use of infra-red ink (claim 6, item c).

[claim 9]

- 30. The office notes that claim 9 is a verbatim copy of claim 7 of 6,496,654.
- 31. Claims 1-9 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-9 of copending Application No. 09/693,083 in view of Kuchta et al. (US 5,164,831).

[claim 1]

- 32. 09/693,083 claims a method for printing digital data on a photograph comprising the steps of receiving image data corresponding to an image (claim 1, step a), loading an image processing program (claim 1, step b), transforming the image data using the image processing program(claim 1, step c), converting image and image related data into a fault tolerant digital form (claim 1, step e) and printing out the fault tolerant digital data using an ink jet printing process with an invisible ink on a surface of a print media while simultaneously printing out image data as a photographic image in a visual, human readable form on the same surface of the print media (claim 1, step f).

 Therefore, it can be seen that 09/693,083 lacks a method which encodes a fault tolerant copy of the original image data and the transformed image data, and prints this fault tolerant encoded data on a photographic printed version of the original image data.
- 33. Kuchta et al. teaches the storing of a transformed image, which is created using an image processing program loaded onto a camera, and an original image together to

Page 12

allow quick reading of the transformed image data for review while still maintaining the original high resolution image data (Column 2, Lines 21-32). Therefore, It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to transform the original image using an image processing program and to store the original and transformed image data together on the photograph to allow for fast access and display of the transformed image data, without losing the advantages of keeping original high resolution image data. The office also notes that it is well known in the art when printing image data to use the highest resolution available to obtain a better photographic print (Official Notice). Therefore, It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to print the original image data as the photographic printed version to obtain a better quality picture.

[claims 2-6]

34. The office notes that claims 2-6 of 09/693,083 are verbatim copies of claims 2-6 of the present application.

[claim 7]

35. In regard to claim 7, note that 09/693,083 claims an apparatus for printing in invisible ink encoded fault tolerant digital data on a photograph, the apparatus including a camera system for imaging an image including means for outputting the image in a digital format, the camera including means for inputting an image processing program (claim 7, item a), means for processing the digital format of the image into a transformed version of the image in accordance with the steps of the image processing program (claim 7, item b), means for converting the digital format of the image and other

Art Unit: 2612

image related data into a fault tolerant form (claim 7, item c) and means for printing on a surface the transformed version of the image and the fault tolerant encoded digital form using an ink jet printing process, the fault tolerant encoded digital form being printed using an infra-red ink (claim 7, item d). Therefore, it can be seen that 09/693,083 lacks an apparatus which encodes a fault tolerant copy of the original image data and the transformed image data, and prints this fault tolerant encoded data on a photographic printed version of the original image data.

36. Kuchta et al. teaches the storing of a transformed image, which is created using an image processing program loaded onto a camera, and an original image together to allow quick reading of the transformed image data for review while still maintaining the original high resolution image data (Column 2, Lines 21-32). Therefore, It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to transform the original image using an image processing program and to store the original and transformed image data together on the photograph to allow for fast access and display of the transformed image data, without losing the advantages of keeping original high resolution image data. The office also notes that it is well known in the art when printing image data to use the highest resolution available to obtain a better photographic print (Official Notice). Therefore, It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to print the original image data as the photographic printed version to obtain a better quality picture.

[claims 8-9]

Art Unit: 2612

37. The office notes that claims 8 and 9 of 09/693,083 are verbatim copies of claims

Page 14

8 and 9 of the present application.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection.

Allowable Subject Matter

38. Claims 4 and 5 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim,

but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of

the base claim and any intervening claims.

[claims 4 and 5]

39. In regard to claims 4 and 5, the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest the

modulation of a fault tolerant encoded data signal with a high frequency spectral

component before printing of the fault tolerant encoded data. However, the allowance

of claims 4 and 5 may be revisited pending any amendments made to overcome 35

U.S.C. §112 rejections.

Conclusion

40. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to

applicant's disclosure. The following prior art describes some of the features of the

PDF417 format:

i. Maltsev

US 5,716,219

Art Unit: 2612

The following prior art further shows the current state of the art in printing in visible and

Page 15

invisible inks:

ii. Maruyama et al. US 5,547,501

iii. McIntyre et al. US 6,102,505

41. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Timothy J Henn whose telephone number is (703) 305-8327. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:30 AM - 5:00 PM, alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wendy R Garber can be reached on (703) 305-4929. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit: 2612

3/5/2004

WENDY R. GARBER
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
SUPERVISORY CENTER 2600